at; 'UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA' 'TAMPA DIVISION' - U.S.A.

i; a man claim; all herein be true;

'FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'

'600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580'

['Plaintiff']

V.

'Start Connecting LLC; et al

['Defendants']

[Civil] Action 'No. 8:24-cv-01626-KKM-AAS'

Kathryn K. Mizzle Hon. Amanda A. Sansone

[my] word is [my] bond

(verified)

NOTICE OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND RECEIPT OF ORDER NO. 126

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that 'Petitioner' Hamlet Garcia Jr., in his *individual* capacity, hereby formally acknowledges '**receipt'** of this [Honorable] Court's Order No. 126, duly entered into the record. Said Order, being an official judicial directive, is now subject to procedural execution and compliance under the prevailing legal framework.

Accordingly, Petitioner submits Exhibit A, filed contemporaneously herewith, as an evidentiary attachment for judicial consideration.

Dated: February 4th, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Hamlet Garcia II</u> man



The Catalyst Accord

Central Office of Reform and Efficiency Philadelphia, P.A. 19120



Exhibit Cover Page

FEB 4TH LETTER NOTICE TO [HON. JUDGE] MIZZLE

Re: January 31st Order (Doc. 126) on Intervention (Doc. No. 120): In the Matter of Federal Trade Commission v. Start Connecting LLC, et al., Case No. 8:24-cv-1626-KKM-AAS (M.D. Fla.);





Central Office of Reform and Efficiency

Philadelphia, P.A. 19120 Hamlet Garcia Jr.

Office of the Registrar

February 4th, 2025

Hon. Judge Kathryn K. Mizzle
United States District Court, M.D.F.L.
801 N Florida Ave, Courtroom [13B]
Tampa, Florida 33602, USA

RE: 'Letter Notice' of Acknowledgment and Receipt of Order: in the Matter of Federal Trade Commission v. Start Connecting LLC, et al., (M.D. Fla.); VIA: electronic submission through 'Pro-Se' Intake Unit ('EDS')

Dear [Hon. Judge] Kathryn: Ordinant; Mandator: [Your Honor;]

Petitioner hereby acknowledges receipt of Docket Order No. 126, issued by this Honorable Court. Said order has been duly reviewed, and as a matter of strict adherence and good faith, Garcia accepts the terms set forth therein for fulfillment and execution.

Further, recognizing that an *order*, by its very nature, necessitates fulfillment, Petitioner respectfully notes that all orders, in common parlance and commercial practice, carry an inherent expectation of corresponding compensation. Such a principle is neither controversial nor ambiguous. Where an order is issued, fulfillment is expected; where fulfillment is expected, equitable remuneration is warranted.¹

Petitioner, therefore, in accordance with judicial integrity and procedural propriety, affirms commitment to executing the order as directed, with the reasonable expectation that all corresponding costs, labor, and expenses arising from said fulfillment shall be duly recognized, compensated, and indemnified as appropriate under the law. [*Cf.* Fla. Stat. \$\\$\\$ 440.24(1); 733.617(1); *In re* 160 Royal Palm, LLC (11th Cir.)].

Accordingly, let the record reflect that Petitioner proceeds forthwith in dutiful compliance with the Court's directive, under the presumption that judicial orders, as binding instruments, carry mutual obligations upon all parties involved.

/s/ Hamlet Garcia II man; obligant; mandatee



Central Office of Reform and Efficiency

101 E. Olney Ave Philadelphia, PA 19120 P: 856-438-0010 E: hamletgarciajr@gmail.com

It appears; Docket Order No. 126 (the 'Order') mandates a Motion for Reconsideration and Request for Judicial Notice, imposing a 14-day executional obligation *per* Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e), 60(b). Compliance proceeds forthwith under good faith, with compensability reserved Fla. Stat. §§ 57.105(1), 733.617(1) and U.S. v. Aisenberg, 358 F.3d 1327 (11th Cir. 2004).