

**at; ‘united states district court’ for
‘middle district of florida’ - ‘tampa division’**

‘FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION’
‘600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580’

[‘*Plaintiff*’]

v.

‘Start Connecting LLC; Start Connecting
SAS; Douglas R. Goodman; Doris
E. Gallon-Goodman; Juan S. Rojas’

[‘*Defendants*’.]

[Civil] Act[ion]

‘no. 8:24-cv-01626-kkm-aas

(*verified*)

**[‘SUPPLEMENTAL’] NOTICE OF
EMERGENCY AND REQUEST
FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

man-made

TO THE [HONORABLE] ‘COURT’:

NOTICE OF EMERGENCY FILING: Hamlet Garcia II, submits this ‘Supplemental’ Notice of [Doc. 118, 120] *Emergency* Filing in advisory to ‘Local Rule 3.01(e)’, notifying the ‘Court’ of imminent and irreparable harm caused by the Federal Trade Commission’s (‘FTC’) recent regulatory actions. These actions have severely disrupted operations, harming both Start Connecting and its partners, including CORE, and inflicting substantial detriment to consumers and businesses. Failure to act with urgency will lead to devastating economic losses and erosion of consumer trust. Speedy judicial intervention is required to avert further damage and mitigate escalating harm.

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT: In accordance with Local Rule 3.01(h), i; [defendant-intervenor] respectfully require an expedited oral argument to elucidate the pressing issues and substantiate the necessity for immediate relief. Given the complexities inherent in antitrust implications and the urgency of the circumstances, oral argument will facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the matters at hand.

/s/ Hamlet [‘Garcia’] II

man-made

Dated: January 22nd, 2025

VERIFICATION OF SERVICE:

*i hereby verify that, i electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the 'E-Portal' system, which i assume will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record.*¹

Date: 22nd Day of January, 2025

Respectfully submitted,



By: /s/ Hamlet Garcia II
Hamlet ['Garcia'] II (man)²

101 E Olney Ave Unit 330
Philadelphia, PA [19120]
hamletgarciajr@gmail.com

i; Hamlet ['Garcia'] II; man: Member of CORE (33)

[Re]presentative for [wo]man-kind



Hamlet Garcia II
natural | man-made



...hewemunja

¹while diligently seeking procedural guidance regarding the proper placement of the emergency request under Local Rule 3.01(e) and 3.01(h), respectfully notes that, despite efforts to interpret and adhere to the relevant provisions, there may have been a miscommunication or misinterpretation of the filing, and thus, seeks the Court's review to ensure proper docketing and consideration. [Fla. Stat. § 28.222(1)] [E.g., *Tucker v. Ruvín*, 748 So. 2d 376 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000), court finding non-compliance with court policy led to the document's failure to file]

² As a ['pro se'] litigant, i acknowledge my idiocy in legalese; its society, customs and practices to which i have not [nor wish to] subscribed or up-scribed. Courts have long held that terms must be clear, as contract formation relies on expressed, not concealed, terms. *Robbie v. City of Miami*, 469 So. 2d 1384, 1385 (Fla. 1985). Ambiguities are construed against the drafter. *Washington Nat'l Ins. Corp. v. Ruderman*, 117 So. 3d 943, 949-50 (Fla. 2013). Federal law mandates clarity in public documents. 5 U.S.C. § 301 note. *See e.g., FTC v. Start Connecting*, Case No. 8:24-cv-01626-KKM-AAS, Court required Spanish-language agreements for Puerto Rican borrowers, as stated in Count III, ¶¶ 69-71 (Doc. 1, p. 30), reinforcing the need for clarity and accessibility in [legal] contracts. [Cf. 28 U.S.C. § 1746; Fl. § 92.525].

**['SUPPLEMENTAL'] NOTICE OF
EMERGENCY AND REQUEST
FOR ORAL ARGUMENT - 2**

Central Office of Reform and Efficiency

101 E. Olney Ave Philadelphia, PA 19120
P: 856-438-0010 E: hamletgarciajr@gmail.com